Dear MP.

It would be nice to know that you have the basic skills that the PM is expecting and so here is a simple test. You should get someone to hide the answers.

Q1. 97% of the annual increase in global CO2 levels, is due to natural sources (driven mainly by the sun) with the remaining 3% emitted by human activity - 1% of that 3% emanates from the UK.

So what % of the net annual increase in CO2 levels is emitted by the UK? (Answer: 0.03%).

- Q2. How many years would it take for the U.K. to add 1.6 ppm the 60 year average rise in CO2 levels to global CO2 levels from 1960 to 2020? (Over 3,000 years)
- Q3. Why did the ppm rise reach a new record, after Human emissions had **fallen** due to Lockdowns? (Answer: because the influence of mankind on CO2 levels, is insignificant)
- Q4. China emits around 30% of human CO2, which is increasing. So how long does it take for them to emit the same CO2 as the UK does in one year, as it produces more and more EVs? (12 days)
- Q5. If the average household green levy is £120 pa, how long will it take to pay for the £3 trillion (£120k per household) to upgrading the Grid? (Over 800 years).
- Q6. If 1.5 bn EVs each take 40kg of Lithium then how many tonnes of the metal will be needed to make batteries for them? (60 million tonnes)
- Q7. If 130,000 tonnes of Li was mined in 2022, how many years will it take to make 1.5 bn EV batteries? (Around 400 years)
- Q8. Grid maximum demand is around 45GW on some winter days and that is due to double to around 90GW as a result of net zero. Since total wind and solar output is less than 1GW on some days, what will be the GW **shortfall** after wind farm capacity has been trebled? (87GW). So without a massive increase in energy storage expect power cuts.

Q9. In view of the above, what justification is there for a projected increase in fuel poverty by several millions at a total cost of over £8 trillion to eliminate less than 1/3,000th of the annual net rise in CO2 level, while driving more industry abroad, where it will cause more CO2 emissions than before? (The answer is surely obvious)

I would welcome a response from anyone who believes that he/she has a good grasp on those figures and a justification for the enormous cost and the fuel poverty which is being imposed on the people, for little benefit.

Before answering, please recall i) that the sun is the primary driver of climate, ii) that natural CO2 levels **follow** global temperature, iii) that IPCC predictions have been flawed, iv) that there is no global warming model which factors in all drivers and feedback loops, v) variations in the impact of solar activity and planetary movements are not factored in, vi) the GHG impact of CO2 falls away rapidly with CO2 content and vii) water vapour and clouds have a bigger greenhouse impact than CO2.

I look forward to a response which does not simply parrot government or UN policy.

Yours sincerely